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The transport properties of quasi-free-standing (QFS) bilayer graphene on SiC depend on a range of scattering mechanisms. Most of them are isotropic in nature. However, the SiC substrate morphology marked by a distinctive pattern of the terraces gives rise to an anisotropy in graphene’s sheet resistance, which may be considered an additional scattering mechanism. At a technological level, the growth-preceding in situ etching of the SiC surface promotes step bunching which results in macro steps ~10 nm in height. In this report, we study the qualitative and quantitative effects of SiC steps edges on the resistance of epitaxial graphene grown by chemical vapor deposition. We experimentally determine the value of step edge resistivity in hydrogen-intercalated QFS-bilayer graphene to be ~190 Ωμm for step height ℎs = 10 nm and provide proof that it cannot originate from mechanical deformation of graphene but is likely to arise from lowered carrier concentration in the step area. Our results are confronted with the previously reported values of the step edge resistivity in monolayer graphene over SiC atomic steps. In our analysis, we focus on large-scale, statistical properties to foster the scalable technology of industrial graphene for electronics and sensor applications. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4896581]

I. INTRODUCTION

Owing to its outstanding carrier mobility, graphene has been expected to realize high-speed electronics,1,2 however the room-temperature carrier mobility of 140 000 cm2/Vs was only reported for its exfoliated form on BN.3 in excess of 15 000 cm2/Vs on SiO2.4–6 and 25 000 cm2/Vs for its suspended form 7 (200 000 cm2/Vs at low temperature 8,9). When graphene is grown on SiC substrate, its carrier transport is limited to nanometer steps and proved step edge resistivity QFS-bilayer graphene to be ~190 Ωμm for step height ℎs = 10 nm and provide proof that it cannot originate from mechanical deformation of graphene but is likely to arise from lowered carrier concentration in the step area. Our results are confronted with the previously reported values of the step edge resistivity in monolayer graphene over SiC atomic steps. In our analysis, we focus on large-scale, statistical properties to foster the scalable technology of industrial graphene for electronics and sensor applications. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4896581]

impurity-limited mobility, \( \mu \times n_{imp} = 5 \times 10^{15} \ \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), where \( n_{imp} \) is the effective impurity concentration and it is significant affected by a range of scattering mechanisms, predominantly, long-range Coulomb scattering on charged impurities trapped in the graphene-substrate interface.\( \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{n}} \) It has been calculated that in case of monolayer graphene, the room temperature intrinsic mobility of charge carriers is phonon-limited to ~105 cm2/Vs (Refs. 39–41) and the most plausible sources of scattering are charged impurities. The mean free path for short-range scatterers \( l_s \) is proportional to \( 1/\sqrt{n} \), where \( n \) is the charge carrier density. For Coulomb scatterers due to the screening effect, \( l_s \approx \sqrt{n} \), therefore, short-range scattering must be included into formalism only for very low ionized impurity density or at high carrier densities. A simple analytic equation was derived to relate the charged-
suggested that the conduction anisotropy is a reflection of both geometric anisotropy and the extent of residual silicon atoms aggregated at the step edges, where they enhance carrier scattering.

Graphene grown on Si face of SiC rests on a buffer layer which is the first layer of carbon atoms covalently bound to the substrate.\textsuperscript{56–58} It can be decoupled to form a quasi-free-standing bilayer graphene (QFS-bilayer) through hydrogen atoms intercalation.\textsuperscript{59} The intercalating atoms diffuse underneath the buffer layer and bound themselves to the topmost Si atoms of the SiC substrate converting the buffer layer to a mostly sp2-hybridized monolayer graphene. The resultant QFS-bilayer graphene is partly screened from the substrate and exhibits on average three times higher carrier mobility than the un-intercalated one. Importantly, its transport properties are not degraded up to 700 °C. Therefore, it is mostly suited for high-speed applications. Unfortunately, the growth-preceding \textit{in situ} etching of the SiC surface promotes step bunching which results in macro steps ~10 nm in height, as opposed to much lower atomic steps investigated in Refs. 51 and 54. The step bunching is expected to considerably increase the step edge resistivity. In this report, we examine the qualitative and quantitative effects of SiC steps on graphene’s resistance and experimentally determine the value of \( \rho_{\text{step}} \) in hydrogen-intercalated bilayer graphene.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

In this paper, we studied the effect of the step-edge-induced resistance anisotropy in hydrogen intercalated, QFS-bilayer graphene on the Si face of 4H-SiC(0001) and 6H-SiC(0001) (10 mm × 10 mm). The investigated samples were grown using the CVD method on semi-insulating on-axis substrates in a standard hot-wall CVD Aixtron VP508 reactor. Prior to the growth, \textit{in situ} etching of the SiC surface was carried out in hydrogen atmosphere. The epitaxial CVD growth of graphene was realized under dynamic flow conditions that simultaneously inhibit Si sublimation and promote the mass transport of propane molecules to SiC substrate.\textsuperscript{46} The growth process was followed by \textit{in situ} hydrogen intercalation at 1000 °C in 900 mbar Ar atmosphere. The as grown samples were characterized by Hall effect measurements in \textit{van der Pauw} geometry with the four golden probes placed in the corners of the 10 mm × 10 mm substrates. Altogether 140 4H-SiC and 60 6H-SiC samples were fabricated and investigated to assure a statistical perspective. Typical values of hole concentration obtained at room temperature were of the order of \( 1.3 \times 10^{13} \) cm\(^{-2} \) and their mobility proved on average 2500 cm\(^2\)/Vs (up to 5300 cm\(^2\)/Vs).

The qualitative influence of SiC step edges resulting from step bunching on the average resistance of QFS-bilayer graphene was in the first place derived from standard Hall effect characterization in \textit{van der Pauw} geometry with the use of an 0.55T Ecopia HMS-3000 setup. Prior to the measurement, each graphene sample was inspected under an optical microscope and assigned a specific angle of the SiC terraces configuration. The terraces appear to follow a uniform direction over the entire area of a substrate. Fig. 1(a) illustrates the adopted convention for the angle assignment.

![Fig. 1](image)

**Fig. 1.** (a) Schematic view of a SiC substrate (10 mm × 10 mm) and the adopted convention for the angle \( \alpha \) assignment of the terraces orientation with respect to the SiC substrate edges. Letters A–D indicate four corners of the substrate, where the four golden pins were placed during the standard Hall effect characterization in \textit{van der Pauw} geometry. This approach was adopted to qualitatively observe the step-edge-induced resistance anisotropy in graphene. (b) Optical image of a photolithographically patterned equal-arm graphene Hall cross designed for the quantitative analysis of the step edge resistivity.

The resultant is \( \alpha \) ranging from 0° to 90° with the terraces running horizontally (\( \alpha = 0° \)), vertically (\( \alpha = 90° \)), or at any other angle calculated from the level.

In the standard \textit{van der Pauw} method for the sheet resistance determination, it is required to measure the \( R_{AB-CD}, R_{CD-AB}, R_{AD-BC}, R_{BC-AD} \), auxiliary resistances in the first place. These values are defined as \( (V_D - V_C)I_{AB}, (V_B - V_A)/I_{DC}, (V_C - V_B)I_{AD}, \) and \( (V_D - V_A)/I_{BC} \), respectively. Based on this, the \( R_{\text{VERTICAL}} \) and \( R_{\text{HORIZONTAL}} \) are calculated as arithmetic means of \( (R_{AB-CD}, R_{CD-AB}) \) and \( (R_{AD-BC}, R_{BC-AD}) \), respectively. It can be shown that the following relation holds (\textit{van der Pauw})\textsuperscript{66}:

\[
\exp(-\pi R_{\text{VERTICAL}}/R_S) + \exp(-\pi R_{\text{HORIZONTAL}}/R_S) = 1,
\]

where \( R_S \) is the material’s sheet resistance. In this report, the \( R_{\text{VERTICAL}} \) and \( R_{\text{HORIZONTAL}} \) values are considered to be influenced by the terraces orientation and are confirmed to follow a precise function of the angle \( \alpha \). Both resistances are related to a hypothetical \( R_{\text{AVERAGE}} \) that corresponds to \( R_S \) through \( 2\exp(-\pi R_{\text{AVERAGE}}/R_S) = 1 \), where \( R_S \) is determined by \( R_{\text{VERTICAL}} \) and \( R_{\text{HORIZONTAL}} \). Each of the 200 verified samples was subject to two subsequent measurements.
(I = 1 mA) with the second preceded by sample rotation by 90°, thus rearranging the corners from ABCD to DABC. With this approach, our statistical perspective was doubled. The anisotropy in transport properties is manifested in normalized values of $R_{\text{vertical}}/R_{\text{average}}$ and $R_{\text{horizontal}}/R_{\text{average}}$ in the function of $x$.

The qualitative observation of step-edge-induced resistance anisotropy provides a justification for further quantitative analysis. Two hydrogen intercalated QFS-bilayer 4H-SiC samples were photolithographically patterned to form nine graphene Hall bars on each. Initial van der Pauw characterization proved that both graphene samples displayed similar parameters: hole concentration $n \approx 1.8 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$, carrier mobility $\mu \approx 2300$ cm$^2$/V·s, and sheet resistance $R_S \approx 150$ Ω/sq. The nine Hall bars took the form of symmetrical, equal-arm crosses rotated at a gradually increasing angle (0°, 5°, 10°, 15°, 20°, 25°, 30°, 35°, 40°) with respect to the substrate’s edges and hence to SiC terraces. Each of the two bars forming the graphene cross had 200 μm in width and 600 μm in length. 20 nm Ti/80 nm Au ohmic contacts (200 μm × 200 μm) were e-beam deposited. Fig. 1(b) illustrates one of the nine crosses.

In order to deepen our understanding of the origin of the step edge resistivity, an additional sample with transferred graphene was produced. Graphene grown on 12 μm thick 3N JTCHE Gould Electronics copper foil in Aixtron VP508 reactor was transferred onto a 4H-SiC substrate through the PMMA-mediated electrochemical delamination method. Prior to transfer, the substrate underwent a process of hydrogen etching in 1600°C to promote step bunching on its surface. An identical pattern of nine rotated Hall bars was fabricated accordingly to the above presented details.

In each of the nine Hall crosses, the specific $x_1$ and $x_2$ angles were determined. $x_1$ corresponds to step edge orientation in the graphene channel between contacts “1” and “3,” $x_2$ between “2” and “4.” The configuration of a Hall cross provides two mutually perpendicular graphene resistors $R_{13}$ and $R_{24}$. It can be judged from the photograph that the total resistance between two opposite contact pads is described by the following formulas:

$$R_{13} = R_{C1} + R_{\text{channel13}}(x_1) + R_{C3},$$

$$R_{24} = R_{C2} + R_{\text{channel24}}(x_2) + R_{C4},$$

where $R_{C1}$ … $R_{C4}$ are the contact resistances and $R_{\text{channel13}}(x_1)$ and $R_{\text{channel24}}(x_2)$ denote the resistance of 200 μm × 600 μm graphene channels. It was assessed with additional TLM (Transfer Length Method) structures featuring 200 μm × 200 μm pads located next to the equal-arm crosses that the unit length contact resistance varied between 600 Ω/μm and 1100 Ω/μm, indicating that a single 200 μm × 200 μm contact pad introduces between 3 Ω and 5.5 Ω. In order to verify possible angle dependence of the contact resistance, the TLM structures were fabricated at a range of angles with respect to SiC terraces and multiplied for each orientation, so that the results had a statistical perspective. In the analyzed sample, these angles lied in the range between 40° and 90°. The experimental values proved no angle dependence (Fig. 2). The authors believe that in any given cross $R_{C1}$ and $R_{C3}$, as well as $R_{C2}$ and $R_{C4}$ are mutually approximately equal and their difference is negligible with respect to the expected value of $R_{\text{channel13}}(x_1) - R_{\text{channel24}}(x_2)$.

Based on the measured values of $R_{13}$ and $R_{24}$, one can calculate the following relation:

$$\Delta R(\Delta x) = |R_{13} - R_{24}|, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta x = |x_1 - x_2|$$

$\Delta R(\Delta x)$ reflects the differential resistance between two perpendicular graphene channels as a function of the differential angle $\Delta x$. For $x_1 = x_2 = 45°$ and $\Delta x = 0$, which holds for identical terrace orientation in both channels, $\Delta R(\Delta x)$ is expected to account for zero. When $\Delta x = 90°$, $\Delta R(\Delta x)$ reaches its maximum value and equals the excess resistance introduced by a finite number of SiC step edges that are 200 μm wide and cover the entire graphene channel. The authors chose to locally define a $\Delta R(\Delta x)$ relation for each of the equal-arm crosses to minimize possible influence of graphene’s quality inhomogeneity that if occurred throughout the sample would interfere with the influence of SiC step edges. The nine Hall crosses provide nine data points for a linear fit that reproduces the $\Delta R(\Delta x)$ relation. We later use this fitted relation in the form of $y = ax + b$ to calculate the exact value of

$$\Delta R(\Delta x = 90°) = a \times 90° = n_{\text{edges}} \times \rho_{\text{step}}/200 \mu m, \quad (1)$$

where $n_{\text{edges}}$ is the number of SiC step edges. The intercept $b$ is intentionally neglected as it is attributed other than terrace origin, however the authors cannot provide a meaningful explanation for its origin. The accurate number of SiC step edges $n_{\text{edges}}$ over the distance of 600 μm is specific for each sample and was determined with the use of an atomic force microscope. Based on $a \times 90° = n_{\text{edges}} \times \rho_{\text{step}}/200 \mu m$, the average resistivity $\rho_{\text{step}} [\Omega \mu m]$ of a single SiC step edge in QFS-bilayer graphene was derived.

![FIG. 2. Statistical analysis of the unit length contact resistance angle dependence measured in TLM structures featuring 200 μm × 200 μm contact pads. The TLM structures were rotated at different angles with respect to SiC terraces and multiplied to illustrate possible data distribution for the same angle. The insets schematically illustrate the terrace orientation between two adjacent TLM pads and the adopted convention for angle assignment.](122.146.40.44 On: Thu, 25 Sep 2014 15:29:44)
III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The qualitative observation of the step-edge-induced resistance anisotropy in hydrogen intercalated graphene on 4H-SiC(0001) and 6H-SiC(0001) (10 mm × 10 mm) substrates is presented in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The convention for the angle assignment of the terraces orientation with respect to the sample edges was depicted in Fig. 1(a). The $R_{\text{vertical}}/R_{\text{average}}$ and $R_{\text{horizontal}}/R_{\text{average}}$ data points, where $R_{\text{vertical}}$ and $R_{\text{horizontal}}$ are the auxiliary van der Pauw resistances and $R_{\text{average}}$ is a hypothetical quantity that corresponds to $R_s$ through $2\text{exp}(-\pi R_{\text{average}}/R_s) = 1$, clearly illustrate the cumulative effect of SiC step edges on the total resistance of QFS-bilayer graphene. The lowest normalized resistance is observed in the direction parallel to the SiC terraces ($\alpha = 0^\circ$ for $R_{\text{horizontal}}/R_{\text{average}}$ and $\alpha = 90^\circ$ for $R_{\text{vertical}}/R_{\text{average}}$). It gradually increases as the step edges effectively hinder the current flow. In the direction perpendicular to the terraces, the normalized resistance reaches its maximum ($\alpha = 90^\circ$ for $R_{\text{horizontal}}/R_{\text{average}}$ and $\alpha = 0^\circ$ for $R_{\text{vertical}}/R_{\text{average}}$). Both datasets are mutually symmetrical and cross exactly at the angle of 45°, which is in agreement with the expectations. Qualitatively similar results are obtained for 4H-SiC and 6H-SiC substrates. It happened that within the set of 60 6H-SiC samples, a majority displayed terraces oriented along the substrate’s edges, hence an accumulation of data points around 5° and 85°. The significantly larger spread of resistance values at these angles as compared with intermediate steps is explained by the fact that within a more numerous set, one encounters a wider distribution of the total number of terraces, which translates into the observable data span. The statistical analysis of 200 samples proves that the step edges constitute a non-negligible mechanism of carrier transport impediment.

The quantitative description of the average step edge resistivity $\rho_{\text{step}}$ is brought by the Hall crosses rotated at a varying angle with respect to SiC terraces (Fig. 1(b)). The measured $\Delta R(\Delta \alpha)$ relation of the two hydrogen intercalated 4H-SiC samples is depicted in Fig. 4. $\Delta R$ is the differential resistance between two perpendicular graphene channels and $\Delta \alpha$ is the differential angle between the terraces orientation in the two channels. It is expected that when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 45^\circ$ and $\Delta \alpha = |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| = 0$, which holds for identical terraces orientation in both channels, $\Delta R$ should equal 0 and reach its maximum for $\Delta \alpha = 90^\circ$, when in one channel, the terraces run parallel to the direction of the current flow and perpendicular in the other. It was observed that for every $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, $R_{11} < R_{22}$, and $R_{13} < R_{24}$ for $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$. This is indicative of the step-edge-induced resistance anisotropy and it is consistent with the above reported qualitative observation that the more terraces hamper the current flow the higher the resistance. The collected data points were linear fitted with $y=ax+b$ and yield the slope $a$ equal to 0.915 and 1.393. Contrary to expectations for $\Delta \alpha = 0$, the intercept $b \neq 0$ ($\Delta R \neq 0$). We attribute it other than terrace origin and intentionally neglect in the determination of $\rho_{\text{step}}$. Both samples were inspected.
with a Nanoscope Controller driven Veeco Dimension V atomic force microscope equipped with an OTESPA cantilever. A 2 mm-long line scan in the direction perpendicular to the terraces was performed in order to statistically define an average number of SiC step edges \( n_{edges} \) over the distance of 600 \( \mu \)m, which is the length of either of the graphene channels. The two samples were assigned \( n_{edges} \sim 81 \) and \( n_{edges} \sim 130 \), respectively. Based on Eq. (1), the resultant step edge resistivity was calculated 203 \( \Omega \)\( \mu \)m and 192 \( \Omega \)\( \mu \)m, accordingly.

Given these, one can relate the calculated values of \( \rho_{step} \) to the observed qualitative anisotropy in graphene’s resistance depicted in Fig. 3. For \( \rho_{step} = 203 \Omega \mu m \), \( n_{edges} \sim 81 \) over the distance of 600 \( \mu \)m, and sample dimensions 10 mm \times 10 mm, the total additional resistance introduced by SiC step edges in the direction perpendicular to the current flow equals \( R_{step} = \rho_{step} n_{edges} W_{edges} = 203 \Omega \mu m(10 \text{ mm} \times 81/600 \mu m)/10 \text{ mm} = 27.4 \Omega \), where \( n_{edges} \) and \( W_{edges} \) are the total number and width of step edges, respectively. In case of the second sample, \( \rho_{step} = 192 \Omega \mu m \), \( n_{edges} \sim 130 \), \( R_{step} = 41.6 \Omega \). These additional step-edge-induced resistances constitute \( \sim 18\% \) and \( \sim 28\% \) of average \( R_S \) of these samples \( \sim (150 \Omega) \) measured in van der Pauw geometry. Such a contribution is less severe than it was predicted by Fig. 3, where it is suggested that over the area of 10 mm \times 10 mm sample, the terraces introduce around 100\% the average \( R_S \). The authors believe that the overestimated anisotropy induced from van der Pauw characterization has its origin in the specificity of this technique itself. During the measurement, the current path spans only a fraction of the substrate’s surface. It is narrower than the sample’s width and its highest density is localized near the sample’s edge between the two current contacts and thus it experiences relatively overestimated step-edge-induced resistance.

These derived resistivities \( \rho_{step} \) are higher than those discussed in Ref. 51, where for monolayer graphene, the following values were obtained: \( \sim 7 \Omega \mu m \) for step height \( h_5 = 0.5 \text{ nm} \), \( \sim 15 \Omega \mu m \) for \( h_5 = 1 \text{ nm} \), and \( \sim 25 \Omega \mu m \) for \( h_5 = 0.5 \text{ nm} \). Nearly identical resistivities for monolayer graphene were reported in Ref. 54. However, they imply atomic steps rather than macro steps that originate from step bunching. In this report, the calculated values of \( \rho_{step} \), i.e., \( 203 \Omega \mu m \) and \( 192 \Omega \mu m \) correspond to step heights of \( \sim 7.4 \text{ nm} \) and \( \sim 10.0 \text{ nm} \). These numbers come as an average step height measured with an atomic force microscope over a distance of 2 mm and are found to be symptomatic for the step bunching phenomenon. Typical values of step heights and terrace widths witnessed after the growth-preceding in-situ hydrogen etching of SiC surface are depicted in Fig. 5.

In Ref. 54, it was found that for monolayer graphene, the mechanical deformation of graphene sheet cannot account for the observed step edge resistivity and it is rather the abrupt variation in potential and doping due to the detachment of graphene from the substrate as it passes over a step that introduces the additional scattering mechanism. To support this reasoning, we investigated a monolayer CVD graphene transferred from copper onto the 4H-SiC substrate using the PMMA-mediated technique. To assure that the transferred graphene reproduces SiC surface morphology and the step edge curvature, we analyzed its bending over an etched pit dislocation. It has been confirmed using SEM imaging that graphene precisely imitates the substrate’s texture. The nine rotated Hall bars were characterized accordingly to the procedure adopted for the two hydrogen intercalated 4H-SiC samples. No recognizable pattern in resistance anisotropy was detected (Fig. 6(c)). Unlike in CVD QFS-bilayer graphene grown on SiC, where for \( z_1 < z_2 \), \( R_{13} > R_{24} \), and \( R_{13} < R_{24} \) when \( z_1 > z_2 \), here the measured \( \Delta R \) took random, both positive and negative values in the range of approximately \( \pm 600 \Omega \). Bearing in mind the measured \( R_{ij} \) resistance between the opposite contact pads of approximately \( 3.8 \text{ k}\Omega - 8.2 \text{ k}\Omega \), we state that \( \Delta R \) is relatively weaker (<12\% of \( R_{ij} \)) than it was witnessed for epitaxial QFS-bilayer graphene (\( \Delta R \) up to 38\% of \( R_{ij} \), \( R_{ij} \) in the range of \( 370 \Omega - 710 \Omega \)). Thus, taking into account the lower values of \( \Delta R \) relative to \( R_{ij} \) and their random nature, we attribute the data scatter to inhomogeneities in local transport properties of the transferred graphene. This observation provides further proof that the step edge resistivity cannot originate from graphene’s mechanical deformation. This conclusion suggests that the charge carriers are not subject to scattering.
over the substrates steps and consequently the step edge area does not influence their mobility. Bearing in mind that the resistance is a product of both carrier mobility \( \mu \) and concentration \( n \) (\( R^{-1} = en\mu \)), we conclude that the derived \( \rho_{\text{step}} \) may originate from a decreased carrier population in the step area.

The possible carrier population depletion is expected to manifest itself in Raman spectroscopy imaging of the terrace and step edge area. Micro-Raman maps of a hydrogen intercalated QFS-bilayer graphene within the terrace and step edge area, performed in a backscattering geometry using an inVia Renishaw microscope powered by a 532 nm CW Nd-YAG laser, are depicted in Figs. 7 and 8.

The number of graphene layers is verified in two ways. First, the FWHM of the 2D band within the terrace area is \( \sim 60 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and it reaches \( \sim 70 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) on the step edges (Fig. 8(b)). It has been shown that exfoliated bilayer graphene exhibits a 2D FWHM of \( \sim 50 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (Ref. 63) and other reports yield a range of 41–60 cm\(^{-1}\)\(^{64-68} \). Therefore, we reason that the terraces are covered with bilayer graphene and that there is an additional graphene layer in the step edge area. Second, bilayer graphene is expected to yield an asymmetric 2D band that is only reproduced by a sum of four Lorentzians, whereas the 2D band of a trilayer graphene is symmetrical and may be approximated by a single Voigt curve. Following the procedure adopted in Ref. 69, we analyze the overall quality of fitting the measured 2D band with a set of four Lorentzian curves and with a single Voigt function. An exemplary Raman spectrum within the terrace and step edge area is presented in Fig. 9. The comparison of the chi-squared value of the fitting correctness of the 2D band with the above mentioned functions (Figs. 10(a) and 10(b)) proves that the terrace area is better approximated with a four-fold Lorentzian whereas the step edge area by a single Voigt, which suggests that the step edges are decorated with an additional (third) graphene layer.

In Ref. 70, it was clearly presented that under biaxial strain conditions, when the position of the G band in bilayer graphene is rising, the position of the 2D band is also increased. This is in contrast to the step edges, where an observable blue-shift of the 2D band is followed by a red-shift of the G band (Figs. 8(a) and 7(a)). We attribute the mechanism responsible for the blue-shift of the 2D band predominantly to strain induced by the step edges. Taking into account the fact that a deviation from a consistent shift of the G band and 2D band positions is mostly an evidence of carrier concentration changes and that in our experiment the red-shift of the G band position (Fig. 7(a)) is followed by a sharp increase in its width (Fig. 7(b)),\(^{71} \) we reason from the Raman results that carrier concentration is lowered at the step edges as compared with the terraces.

It is assumed in Ref. 54 that along the detachment length \( l_d \approx 1.2h_s \), where \( h_s \) is the step height, graphene is fully depleted of carriers. As a result, the step edge resistivity is expected to scale linearly with the step height. In our
experiment, the linear scaling is only supported for the orderly oriented terraces (Fig. 11). It has been observed that the meandering geometry promoted a \( \sim 50\% \) higher step edge resistivity than it was expected for given \( h_S \). On the basis of the fine agreement of our result derived for the orderly oriented terraces with the linear approximation of the reported data, we conclude that the adopted explanation for the \( \rho_{\text{step}} \) origin is reliable. Yet, the overestimated step edge resistivity related to SiC atomic steps with our results for \( \rho_{\text{step}} \) indicative of the step bunching occurring during the growth-preceding \textit{in-situ} hydrogen etching of the SiC surface. Inset imaging obtained with a Bruker Contour GT-I 3D optical microscope depicts surface morphology of the investigated samples (meandering and orderly oriented terraces).
resistance of the winding terraces may suggest that graphene’s resistance is further augmented by local morphology. Such contribution could be a consequence of residual Si atoms aggregated in the step edge area or growth disorder near the step edges leading to deterioration of graphene’s quality and promoting short-range scattering. 

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We showed the qualitative influence of SiC step edge orientation on the resistance of hydrogen intercalated QFS-bilayer graphene grown on 4H-SiC(0001) and 6H-SiC(0001) (10nm × 10 nm) by chemical vapor deposition. The statistical overview of 200 samples marks a distinctive relation between terrace orientation and the excess resistance. Similar results are observed for 4H and 6H polytypes. A further detailed analysis yields exact values of step edge resistivity in QFS-bilayer graphene on 4H-SiC substrate $\rho_{step} = 203 \Omega \mu m$ for $h = 7.4$ nm and $\rho_{step} = 192 \Omega \mu m$ for $h = 10.0$ nm. In the case of orderly oriented terraces, our result is in agreement with the previously reported values for the step-height-scaling resistivity in monolayer graphene. It was observed that the meandering geometry of the terraces promoted a ~50% higher step edge resistivity than it was expected for given $h_g$. No clear pattern was observed in the resistance anisotropy of the copper-grown graphene transferred onto a 4H-SiC substrate that would indicate the deformation-induced step edge resistivity. The results suggest that the adopted explanation for $\rho_{step}$ origin, graphene’s depletion of carriers over the detachment length, is reliable but this effect may be further augmented by growth disorder near the step edges and consequent short-range scattering. The authors believe that the typical macro step height (~10 nm) arising from the step bunching promoted by the in situ hydrogen etching of the SiC substrate gives rise to a non-negligible carrier transport impedance and should be considered in the design of the micro-scale graphene-based devices. In our analysis, we focused on large-scale, statistical parameters that will foster the reproducibility and standardization of the academic-scale technology and provide basis for the scalable, industrial, high-yield graphene production for electronics and sensor technologies.
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